

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/762,174	01/21/2004	Akashi Satoh	JP920020242US1	4993
7590 04/24/2007 Ryan, Mason & Lewis, LLP 90 Forest Avenue		* .	EXAMINER	
			MAI, TAN V	
Locust Valley, NY	7 11560		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBE	
			2193	
SHORTENED STATUTORY P	ERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONT	24	04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/762,174	SATOH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	·		
	Tan V. Mai	2193			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss		
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOR cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this comm BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Fe	ebruary 2007				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
Disposition of Claims		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
	. ,				
Application Papers	•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>26 March 2004</u> is/are:		·			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	*				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attache	d Office Action of form F10-	152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
 Certified copies of the priority document 	s have been received.	<i>,</i>			
2. Certified copies of the priority document		• •			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	n received in this National Sta	age		
application from the International Bureau					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	received.			
,	•				
	•				
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)		
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/21/04</u> .	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/762,174 Page 2

Art Unit: 2193

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Species I, Claims 1-4 and 9-12, in Paper dated 2/15/07 is acknowledged. Because applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, i.e., the "Wallace tree bock" (species I) and "multiplication means" (species II) are at least part of Wallace tree block 110 in Fig. 7. Therefore, claims 1-13 are examined together.

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for "Wallace tree block ... adding the partial products into a <u>carr save form</u>", does not reasonably provide enablement for "Wallace tree block ... adding the partial products into a <u>redundant binary form</u>". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

The applicants have failed to disclose the physical structure of their multiplier / cipher circuit with any meaningful degree of specificity.

The examiner contends that not only would it require undue experimentation to design the above multiplier / cipher circuit which would perform the function(s) disclosed and claimed, but that it would also require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to design working apparatus that would permit the "Wallace tree block ... adding the partial products into a <u>redundant binary form</u>". It is noted that "<u>redundant binary</u>" is representation of [-1, 0 +1].

Application/Control Number: 10/762,174

Art Unit: 2193

3. Claims 1-4 and 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per independent claims 1 and 9, the phrases "<u>redundant binary form</u>" are misdescriptive because the specification does NOT support them. Also, claims 1 and 9 seem to be incomplete in that they recite only a portion of the methodology required for the multiplier / cipher circuit to become operational, i.e., they omit essential elements and/or steps. It is noted that the preamble of claims 1 and 9 recite "multiplier / cipher circuit ". Therefore, the [final] results should be <u>products</u>. See MPEP 2172.01.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

((b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kelley et al.

As per independent claim 1, Kelley et al teach, e.g., see Figs. 3-8, the claimed combination. The circuit comprises "...reduction tree" (element 524 of Fig. 3), sum register (530) and (carry register (535) and adder (560). Also, see col. 1, line 63 to col. 2, line 44 [for Wallace tree], and col. 6 line 45 to col. 7, line 9, especially lines 45-54, i.e., "accumulated sum" and "accumulated carry" for the claimed "sum calculation block" and "carry calculation block", respectively.

As per dependent claims 3-4, Kelley et al teach the detail features.

Application/Control Number: 10/762,174

Art Unit: 2193

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2 and 5-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelley et al.

Kelley et al have been discussed in paragraph 4 above.

As per dependent claim 2, the claim adds the "sum calculation block ... multiplication over an extension field of two". The Wallace tree technique, i.e., carry save adder, is well known the Galois field and finite field, e.g., see Hansen et al (Ref. B). Kelley et al's sum output is available. Therefore, it would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Kelley et al's teachings because the circuit is a multiplication/accumulation capable of performing sum of product in single clock cycle as claimed.

Due to the similarity of independent claim 5 to the combination of claim 2 & 3, it is rejected under a similar rationale.

As per dependent claims 6-8, the claims add the detail features which are obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art.

As per claims 9-13, the claims recite <u>cipher circuits</u> having multipliers as claimed in claims 1 & 5. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to design the claimed invention according to Kelley

Application/Control Number: 10/762,174

Art Unit: 2193

et al's teachings because the multiplier circuit can be used in Galois field / finite field device as claimed.

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Cited references are art of interest.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tan V. Mai whose telephone number is (571) 272-3726. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Wed and Fri. from 9:30am to 2:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An, can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is:

Official

(571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

Tan V. Mai Primary Examiner